

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Honeycutt RN, Holbrook SJ, Brooks AJ, Schmitt RJ (2023) Farmerfish gardens help buffer stony corals against marine heat waves. PLoS ONE 18(3): e0282572. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0282572

Editor: Frank Melzner, Helmholtz-Zentrum fur Ozeanforschung Kiel, GERMANY

Received: December 6, 2022

Accepted: February 21, 2023

Published: March 8, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 Honeycutt et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All data files are available from the EDI database: doi:10.6073/ pasta/cb9e7d40ae96a4895d83156a4fb960ef.

Funding: RJS & SJH: OCE-1637396 US National Science Foundation <u>www.nsf.gov</u> The funder had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Farmerfish gardens help buffer stony corals against marine heat waves

Randi N. Honeycutt¹, Sally J. Holbrook^{1,2}, Andrew J. Brooks¹, Russell J. Schmitt^{1,2}*

1 Coastal Research Center, Marine Science Institute, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California, United States of America, 2 Department of Ecology, Evolution and Marine Biology, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California, United States of America

* russellschmitt@ucsb.edu

Abstract

With marine heat waves increasing in intensity and frequency due to climate change, it is important to understand how thermal disturbances will alter coral reef ecosystems since stony corals are highly susceptible to mortality from thermally-induced, mass bleaching events. In Moorea, French Polynesia, we evaluated the response and fate of coral following a major thermal stress event in 2019 that caused a substantial amount of branching coral (predominantly Pocillopora) to bleach and die. We investigated whether Pocillopora colonies that occurred within territorial gardens protected by the farmerfish Stegastes nigricans were less susceptible to or survived bleaching better than Pocillopora on adjacent, undefended substrate. Bleaching prevalence (proportion of the sampled colonies affected) and severity (proportion of a colony's tissue that bleached), which were guantified for >1,100 colonies shortly after they bleached, did not differ between colonies within or outside of defended gardens. By contrast, the fates of 399 focal colonies followed for one year revealed that a bleached coral within a garden was a third less likely to suffer complete colony death and about twice as likely to recover to its pre-bleaching cover of living tissue compared to Pocillopora outside of a farmerfish garden. Our findings indicate that while residing in a farmerfish garden may not reduce the bleaching susceptibility of a coral to thermal stress, it does help buffer a bleached coral against severe outcomes. This oasis effect of farmerfish gardens, where survival and recovery of thermally-damaged corals are enhanced, is another mechanism that helps explain why large Pocillopora colonies are disproportionately more abundant in farmerfish territories than elsewhere in the lagoons of Moorea, despite gardens being relatively uncommon. As such, some farmerfishes may have an increasingly important role in maintaining the resilience of branching corals as the frequency and intensity of marine heat waves continue to increase.

Introduction

Climate change is intensifying disturbances such as marine heat waves that increasingly are impacting shallow ocean ecosystems [1], including coral reefs [2, 3]. Marine heat waves (MHWs) can trigger a coral 'bleaching' event where thermal stress breaks down the mutualistic

relationship between a coral host and its endosymbiotic algae (Symbiodiniaceae), which are expelled from the host. A bleached coral will die if it does not regain its endosymbionts. During the past several decades, episodes of mass mortality of corals from MHWs have contributed to the widespread decline of stony corals [3, 4], which typically has profound effects on many structural and functional aspects of local reef communities [5, 6]. There is ample evidence that the frequency, severity, and geographical extent of coral bleaching induced by MHWs have increased since the first such reported mass coral mortality event in the early 1980's [5, 7–9]. Hence, understanding the abiotic and biotic factors that influence the ability of stony corals to buffer the effects of MHWs is critical for developing management strategies that will enhance the resilience of coral to recurring periods of thermal stress [3, 10–12].

Stony coral in parts of the Indo-Pacific can benefit by local interactions with a farming damselfish, the Dusky Gregory Stegastes nigricans ('farmerfish'), that can result in enhanced recruitment, growth, and survival of coral colonies [10, 13–17]. S. nigricans is a group-living damselfish that occurs on tropical reefs from the western Indian Ocean to the eastern Pacific [18]. Territorial groups of this fish cultivate algal gardens for food that they vigorously defend against herbivores as well as corallivorous fishes [15, 19]. By protecting their gardens, farmerfish provide coral that recruit into the garden an associational defense against coral predators, which can enhance coral growth and survival and ultimately can result in a great number and diversity of corals within a garden compared to adjacent areas that are not defended by farmerfish [10, 13, 14, 17]. In addition to the moderating influence of S. nigricans on top-down effects on coral within their territory, farmerfish also can potentially strengthen bottom-up forcing via local fertilization of coral. Several experimental studies have revealed that group-living damselfishes can benefit stony corals and anthozoans by excreting nitrogenous waste that enhance their growth rate and/or reduce their probability of dying [20-26]. What has not been explored is whether coral-farmerfish interactions might buffer coral against MHWs, or alternatively, exacerbate the thermal stress to increase a coral's probability of bleaching and not recovering.

There are *a priori* reasons to hypothesize that coral-farmerfish interactions could either benefit or harm coral colonies during a MHW. For example, the reduction in photosynthetically supplied energy a coral colony suffers due to fewer endosymbionts during a MHW might be offset to some degree by a reduced need to allocate energy to wound repair because farmerfish lessen corallivory [15]. Similarly, recycled nitrogen excreted by farmerfish that enhance algal productivity within their territories [27, 28] also might fuel a rapid increase in the density of the algal endosymbionts a bleached coral needs, and thus lower the probability it would starve to death [24, 25]. By contrast, there also are situations where too much nitrogen can stimulate an excess production of photosynthetic products that can increase the susceptibility to or severity of coral bleaching [11, 29]. Both empirical and theoretical studies that have examined nutrient enrichment in relation to bleaching severity suggest that the relationship can switch from beneficial at lower levels to harmful at higher levels of enrichment [11, 25, 29].

The aim of this study was to evaluate whether the response and fate of stony coral to a major thermal stress event depended on whether the colony occurred within a territorial garden protected by *Stegastes* or on adjacent, undefended reef substrate. At our study location (Moorea, French Polynesia), *Stegastes nigricans* live in shallow lagoon habitats. In early 2019, a prolonged marine heat wave in Moorea kept sea surface temperatures well above average for several months, which caused a substantial fraction of branching corals (mostly in the genera *Pocillopora* and *Acropora*) to bleach [4]. The present study focused on *Pocillopora* spp. because they have been the dominant branching coral on reefs of Moorea for the past several decades [30], and they commonly occur within farmerfish territories [10]. The responses (i.e., bleaching prevalence, bleaching severity) of *Pocillopora* colonies within and outside of farmerfish

gardens on haphazardly selected lagoon patch reefs (hereafter bommies) were evaluated immediately after coral had bleached but before the bleached tissue had died. The fate of colonies that bleached (i.e., proportion that completely died, proportion that recovered) within and outside of farmerfish gardens was assessed by following 399 individually marked colonies for a year. Our findings indicate that gardens defended by *Stegastes* may serve as a thermal stress oasis for branching coral that enhances their chances of buffering marine heat waves.

Materials and methods

Study site, study organisms, and the thermal stress event

Moorea, French Polynesia (17° 30'S, 149° 50'W) is a high volcanic island in the central South Pacific Ocean ~ 20 km west of Tahiti. Moorea is protected by a barrier reef approximately 1 km offshore that encircles the ~ 60 km perimeter of the island, which creates a continuous series of shallow (~ 3 m depth) lagoons. Shoreward of the barrier reef crest are numerous patch reefs ('bommies') separated by sand, coral rubble, and reef pavement. Dispersed throughout the lagoons are colonies of the group-living Dusky Gregory (*Stegastes nigricans*), which tend to be most common from the mid-lagoon to fringing reef in the cross-shore direction [10, 31]. *Stegastes* farm gardens of turf algae and defend them against intruders [15, 32–37]. In Moorea, farmerfish territories are mainly established on dead portions of mounding coral bommies (*Porites* spp.) and reef pavement [10, 14], but also within thickets of living staghorn coral *Acropora pulchra* [15].

In 2019, reefs of Moorea were subjected to the largest thermal stress event in at least the previous 14 years [4]. Over the Austral summer of 2019, an unusually warm water event resulted in an accumulative thermal stress (~ 6 weeks above 29° C, the temperature threshold that predicts thermal stress for corals in Moorea) that was roughly twice that recorded in 2007, which was the highest recorded in any of the 14 years preceding the MHW of 2019. The 2019 thermal event peaked in April—May, at which time a substantial proportion of (mostly branching) coral in the lagoon and shallower (< 12 m) depths on the outer fore reef bleached [4, 30]. The present study was initiated in June 2019, after corals had bleached but before bleached tissues died, and continued until August 2020 to determine the fate of corals that had bleached. The study site was located over a 2 km longshore stretch of the lagoon at the eastern-most corner of the north shore of Moorea, between Avaroa Pass and Irihonu Pass; in the cross-shore direction, habitats were surveyed between the fringing reef to the mid-lagoon region, which is where *S. nigricans* gardens are abundant. The study focused on branching coral in the genus *Pocillopora* because they were by far the most common type of branching coral found in Moorea [30], including in *Stegastes* gardens [10].

Resistance to bleaching: Patterns of prevalence and severity

A field survey to assess whether patterns of bleaching of *Pocillopora* differed between colonies within a farmerfish garden (territory) and those on adjacent, unprotected substrate was conducted during June and July 2019 before bleached tissues of coral died or recovered. Divers assessed all *Pocillopora* colonies found on 178 haphazardly encountered bommies that were scattered throughout the 2 x 0.5 km study polygon. The bommies varied in the amount of their surface covered by *Stegastes* gardens, from lacking gardens altogether to those that were partially to completely covered. Gardens were readily identified by their lush growth of turf red algae (commonly *Polysiphonia* spp.) and the presence of *Stegastes*; the substrate surface not defended by farmerfish typically had closely cropped turf and/or crustose coralline algae. All surveyed bommies were measured (L x W x H) and the location of *Pocillopora* colonies noted (outside or inside of a garden if present). Colonies were then placed into 1 of 5 diameter

categories (< 3 cm, 3–10 cm, 11–20 cm, 21–30 cm, > 30 cm dia; see [11]). Each *Pocillopora* was photographed, and the proportion of the colony that was (1) alive and unbleached, (2) alive but bleached (i.e., tissues transparent and underlying skeleton visibly white), and (3) dead (no tissue and skeleton overgrown with algae from previous partial mortality) was estimated visually in the field (the 3 categories sum to 1). The live but bleached category was defined as tissue that had substantially lower or no pigmentation (due to lower levels of Symbiodiniaceae) relative to the rest of the colony and followed the Australian Coral Watch Coral Health Chart for scoring coral bleaching (https://coralwatch.org). Quantification of bleaching was made by the same individual (RNH). If present on a bommie, *Stegastes* garden sizes were measured, and the number of adult farmerfish enumerated. A total of 1,137 *Pocillopora* colonies was assessed on the 178 bommies surveyed.

Due to the need for a rapid assessment of bleached corals, the total amount of the two 'substrate types' (inside vs outside farmerfish territories) in the study area was not estimated during the June to July 2019 survey. Not all the non-garden area of a bommie was suitable for occupancy by Pocillopora since varying portions of the Porites coral bommies were still alive and/or occupied by other benthic space holders such as macroalgae. We used a recent survey of benthic habitats of the study site to estimate the relative areas of garden vs non-garden habitats that were suitable for occupation by Pocillopora as an approximation of the relative sampling effort for the two habitat types. The 2016 benthic survey consisted of 24 band transects each 20 x 5 m where the substrate / space holder was identified at every 0.5 m point on the grid (i.e., 492 point samples per band transect). The benthic categories quantified included, among others, Stegastes gardens, other turf algae, crustose coralline algae (CCA), live coral (by genus or species), live portions of Porites bommies, and macroalgae (by genus or species). The 24 transects were distributed more or less evenly from the mid-lagoon to fringing reef along the 2 km span of the study site. These data were used to estimate the mean proportion of hard substrate at the study site that was covered by farmerfish gardens, and the mean proportion of non-garden hard substrate that was or could have been occupied by branching corals (i.e., cover of branching coral + cover of cropped turf algae / CCA on bommies).

In addition to exploring how *Pocillopora* responded to the bleaching event as a function of position within or outside a farmerfish territory, responses as a function of colony size also were explored as other studies in Moorea have shown that for a variety of reasons, larger and smaller colonies of *Pocillopora* can have different susceptibilities to bleaching [4, 30]. Accordingly, the 1,137 surveyed corals were divided into two size classes, small ($\leq 10 \text{ cm dia}$) and large (> 10 cm dia). Several statistical tests were conducted to explore relationships between *Pocillopora* colony size and location (inside or outside of a garden) with two metrics of bleaching (prevalence and severity, see below). All analyses were performed using the R language for statistical computing version 4.1.1 [38].

Proportion of large vs small colonies as a function of garden status

A Pearson's chi-square contingency analysis with Yates' continuity correction was used to test whether the proportion of large vs small *Pocillopora* colonies differed between those found within and outside farmerfish gardens. For each garden 'status' (inside or outside), the number of colonies in a size class (large or small) was divided by the total number of colonies in that location category.

Bleaching prevalence

Bleaching prevalence is the proportion of the total number of colonies in a sample that had bleached. For this analysis, a colony was categorized as bleached if \geq 5% of its live tissue was

deemed to be bleached using the criteria described earlier. To determine whether bleaching prevalence differed as a function of garden status (inside or outside) and colony size, Pearson's chi-square contingency analyses with Yates' continuity correction were used to test for garden status effect separately for small and large size classes. For each size class and garden status, the number of colonies categorized as bleached was divided by the total number of colonies (bleached + unbleached) in the respective size and garden status group.

Bleaching severity

Bleaching severity is the proportion of the tissue on an individual colony that bleached. A twoway analysis of variance tested the effect of colony size (small or large) or location (inside or outside a garden) on the severity of bleaching. Data were arcsine-transformed to meet assumptions of normality; the interaction term in the full model was non-significant (p = 0.24), so the analysis was rerun without the interaction term.

Fate of bleached coral: Patterns of mortality and recovery

Bleached tissue on a coral will eventually die if it does not recover a sufficient complement of algal symbionts. To assess the post-bleaching fate of *Pocillopora* at the study site, focal colonies were selected and followed for a year. In July 2019, 399 *Pocillopora* colonies that had some level of bleaching (5–100%) were selected and uniquely tagged using combinations of colored cable ties that were attached to u-nails affixed to the adjacent substrate. The focal colonies, which were selected haphazardly (spread among 148 bommies), included 263 that occurred within farmerfish gardens and 136 that were on bommie surfaces outside of *Stegastes* gardens. Colonies within and outside of gardens were not formally paired, but were intermingled throughout the study site; in most cases, colonies outside of *Stegastes* gardens were within 1–2 m of those in gardens. Not all bommies had corals both inside and outside of a *Stegastes* garden. Colonies were resampled after 6 months (January 2020) and again after 13 months (August 2020), at which times the proportion of each colony that was alive or had died was quantified.

Complete colony death

A subset of the focal corals suffered complete colony mortality between July 2019 and August 2020. Pearson's chi-square contingency analyses with Yates' continuity correction were used to test whether the proportion of corals that suffered complete colony mortality differed depending on whether they were inside or outside of a garden. The total number of dead colonies both inside and outside of gardens was divided by the total number of colonies in those categories. To explore whether smaller and larger sizes had different fates depending on their garden status, a Fischer's exact test was used to test if colony size and garden status influenced whether a colony had complete mortality. The number of colonies within each garden status and size class was divided by the total number of colonies within those respective categories.

Colony recovery

We explored if garden status influenced whether the amount of living tissue on colonies after a year was equal to or greater than the initial amount in 2019, immediately after they bleached. Corals that met this criterion were operationally considered as recovered. Pearson's chi-square contingency analyses with Yates' continuity correction were used to test if the proportion of colonies that recovered depended on whether they were inside or outside of a garden. The total number of colonies that recovered within a respective garden status was divided by the

total number of colonies in that category. A Fischer's exact test was used to test whether recovery of large and small corals differed depending on garden status. The number of colonies within each garden status and size category (large vs small) was divided by the total number of colonies within those respective categories.

Results

Patterns of Pocillopora distribution

Our estimates of benthic microhabitats in 2016 reveal that in the years just before the bleaching event, the mean proportion of bommie surfaces covered by *Stegastes* gardens was 0.30 (\pm 0.4 SE) within the study site, whereas the mean proportion of bommie surfaces that either were occupied by or suitable for branching corals was 0.49 (\pm 0.4); Blanchette et al. [39] reported similar values in a more recent survey. This suggests that in our 2019 survey of bleached coral on bommies, the suitable area sampled for *Pocillopora* outside of farmerfish gardens may have been as much as 1.6 times greater than the total area of farmerfish gardens sampled. Despite this likely sampling bias toward more non-garden habitat, only 32% of the *Pocillopora* colonies encountered (361 of 1,137) in the census of the 178 bommies were found outside of farmerfish territories. Perhaps even more striking, there was a marked shift in proportional representation of small versus large colonies between garden and non-garden habitats (Fig 1). About



Colony size

Fig 1. Proportion of small and of large *Pocillopora* **as a function of farmerfish garden status.** The proportion of small (≤ 10 cm dia) and of large (> 10 cm) *Pocillopora* colonies encountered inside and outside of farmerfish gardens of the 1,137 colonies surveyed on 178 bommies (small: N = 484 inside, 312 outside; large: N = 292 inside, 49 outside). The proportion of the two size classes inside vs outside of gardens was significantly different (p < 0.001).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0282572.g001

60% of all smaller *Pocillopora* encountered in the survey occurred within gardens, which rose to 86% of the larger colonies (Fig 1). This shift between the size classes was highly significant ($X^2_{(1, 1137)} = 66.76, p < 0.001$) and is a pattern consistent with enhanced survivorship and/or growth of coral within *Stegastes* territories relative to colonies on adjacent, non-garden substrates.

Resistance to bleaching: Patterns of prevalence and severity

Bleaching prevalence. Occurring in a garden did not have a statistically significant effect on the proportion of all small ($X^2_{(1, 796)} = 2.35$, p = 0.12) or all large colonies ($X^2_{(1, 341)} = 0.02$, p = 0.88) that bleached (i.e., bleaching prevalence) (Fig 2). Overall, a higher proportion of the total number of large colonies sampled bleached relative to smaller corals ($X^2_{(1, 1137)} = 63.1$, p < 0.0001). Bleaching prevalence was about twice as great for large relative to small corals (Fig 2).

Bleaching severity. With respect to bleaching severity (i.e., the proportion of a colony's tissue that bleached), the interaction between garden status and colony size was not significant ($F_{1, 203} = 1.35$, p = 0.24). Similar to prevalence, occurring in a garden did not alter how much of an individual colony's tissue bleached, given that at least some tissue did ($F_{1, 203} = 1.03$, p = 0.31; reduced model without interaction term) (Fig 3). As with prevalence, colony size did



Colony size

Fig 2. Bleaching prevalence as a function of farmerfish garden status. Bleaching prevalence (proportion of the sampled colonies that bleached) by colony size class as a function of farmerfish garden status for the 1,137 *Pocillopora* encountered on 178 bommies (number of bleached colonies: small: N = 105 inside, 53 outside; large: N = 126 inside, 20 outside; see Fig 1 for the total coral numbers by size and garden status).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0282572.g002



Colony size

Fig 3. Bleaching severity as a function of farmerfish garden status. For colonies with $\geq 5\%$ bleached tissue, the mean (± SE) bleaching severity (proportion of a colony's tissue that bleached) by colony size class as a function of farmerfish garden status. Small colonies were more severely bleached (p < 0.005). See Fig 2 for the numbers of bleached colonies by size and garden status.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0282572.g003

have a significant effect on bleaching severity (Fig 3) ($F_{1, 203} = 8.16$, p < 0.005; reduced model without interaction term). Overall, small colonies had a mean severity that was roughly 40% greater than that of large colonies (Fig 3, Table 1). Taken together, these findings indicate that occurring in a farmerfish territory did not reduce or increase the probability of or degree to which *Pocillopora* tissue bleached in response to prolonged thermal stress.

Fate of bleached coral: Patterns of mortality and recovery. The 399 bleached colonies followed for a year showed qualitatively identical patterns of bleaching severity as the larger

	•		e			
Colony size	Garden	No. of colonies bleached	Mean proportion of live tissue	SE	Bleaching severity	SE
Small	Inside	105	0.91	0.02	0.53	0.04
Small	Outside	53	0.98	0.01	0.54	0.05
Large	Inside	126	0.78	0.02	0.32	0.03
Large	Outside	20	0.79	0.06	0.45	0.07

Table 1. Bleaching severity of corals as a function of colony size and farmerfish	garden status.
---	----------------

The mean (\pm SE) proportion of live tissue of an individual coral colony and mean (\pm SE) bleaching severity (i.e., proportion of live tissue bleached) as a function of coral colony size class (small: \leq 10 cm dia; large > 10 cm) and farmerfish garden status (inside vs outside); N = 1,137 *Pocillopora* colonies encountered on 178 bommies; corals were categorized as bleached if \geq 5% of live tissue was bleached.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0282572.t001

Colony size	Garden	No. of colonies	Initial mean proportion alive	SE	Proportional change in live tissue after 1 year	SE	Initial mean bleaching severity	SE
Small	Inside	172	0.93	0.01	-0.63	0.03	0.43	0.03
Small	Outside	123	0.94	0.01	-0.72	0.04	0.45	0.03
Large	Inside	91	0.88	0.02	-0.12	0.03	0.24	0.02
Large	Outside	13	0.88	0.06	-0.26	0.1	0.29	0.07

Table 2. Bleaching	severity and	patterns of recover	y after one yea	ar as a function of	colony size an	d farmerfish garden status
	, ,					0

The initial mean (\pm SE) proportion of live tissue, the proportional change in live tissue after 1 year, and the initial bleaching severity for 399 uniquely marked colonies followed for a year post bleaching as a function of coral colony size class (small: \leq 10 cm dia; large > 10 cm) and farmerfish garden status (inside vs outside).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0282572.t002

collection of 1,137 colonies surveyed in June 2019 (Table 2). Bleaching severity was greater for small colonies, and within each size category, did not differ with garden status.

Complete colony death. Garden status had a strong effect on whether a bleached colony completely died ($X^2_{(1, 399)} = 19.6$, p < 0.0001). Just over 67% of bleached colonies outside of gardens did not survive the year compared to only 44% in gardens (Fig 4A). Thus, bleached colonies associated with farmerfish territories were about one third less likely to die. As expected, small colonies were more likely to die compared to large colonies (Table 3). Also as predicted, small colonies were less likely to die when they were associated with a farmerfish garden (p = 0.03; 1-tailed test). Large colonies exhibited the same, but non-significant (p = 0.36, Table 3) direction of change; the limited number of adult colonies likely prevented a robust evaluation of the relationship.

Colony recovery

Association with a farmerfish garden increased the chances that a bleached coral recovered by our operational definition (i.e., the proportional area of a colony covered by live tissue after a year was equal to or greater than at the time it bleached in 2019) ($X^2_{(1, 399)} = 7.53$, p < 0.01). Using our threshold metric of recovery for colony sizes combined, 33% of bleached colonies recovered inside gardens (86 of 263) compared to just 19% of bleached, non-garden corals (26 of 136; Table 4, Fig 4B). This indicates that the 'recovery' advantage of dwelling in a farmerfish garden was almost double.

Discussion

Like many coral reefs worldwide, those surrounding Moorea recently experienced an intense thermal stress event that caused widespread bleaching and death of mainly branching corals [4, 30, 40]. For reefs in the lagoons of Moorea, mass mortality of coral can trigger a regime shift from coral to macroalgae that is challenging to reverse [41, 42], making it important to understand factors that enhance the resilience of coral to marine heat waves. Here we found that prevalence and severity of bleaching of *Pocillopora* colonies did not differ significantly inside or outside of defended gardens of the farmerfish *Stegastes nigricans*. Similarly, Smith et al. [43] found that branching coral under canopies of macroalgae (primarily *Sargassum* spp.) on fringing reefs of Magnetic Island on the Great Barrier Reef had the same susceptibility to bleaching as those in nearby plots where macroalgae had been removed well before the thermal event. However, with respect to post-bleaching recovery, we found that bleached *Pocillopora* colonies in a farmerfish garden had a substantially lower probability of completely dying (about one third less) and about twice the probability of recovering (having as much or more live tissue a year later) compared to their counterparts on open reef substrate outside of *Stegastes* territories. This rescue effect of dwelling in a farmerfish garden of filamentous algae



Fig 4. Fate of coral colonies after one year as a function of farmerfish garden status. (A) Proportion of the 399 bleached colonies that died after one year as a function of garden status. Colonies were considered dead if they lost all living tissue. (B) Proportion of bleached colonies that recovered after 1 year as a function of farmerfish garden status; a colony was deemed recovered if it had as much or more live tissue as it had in the initial post-bleaching survey.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0282572.g004

stands in sharp contrast to the generally adverse effect that macroalgae have on the recovery of bleached coral [12, 43].

It is well known that despite the potential for some deleterious effects [44] the territorial gardens cultivated by *Stegastes nigricans* can enhance recruitment and growth of branching and other corals [10, 13-17]. This ultimately can result in a greater number and diversity of

8					
Colony state		No. of colonies		Proportion of colonies by garden status	
Alive		65		0.38	
Dead		107		0.62	
Alive		33		0.27	
Dead		90		0.73	
5					
Colony state		No. of colonies		Proportion of colonies by garden status	
Alive	83			0.91	
Dead	8			0.09	
Alive	11			0.85	
Dead	2			0.15	
	s Colony state Alive Dead Alive Dead s Colony state Alive Dead Alive Dead	S Colony state Alive Dead Alive Dead Colony state Colony state Alive Alive Alive Dead Alive Dead Alive Dead Alive Dead Dead	S Colony state No. of colonies Alive 65 Dead 107 Alive 33 Dead 90 S Colony state No. of colonies Alive 83 Dead 8 Alive 11 Dead 2	S Colony state No. of colonies Alive 65 Dead 107 Alive 33 Dead 90 S Colony state No. of colonies Alive 83 Dead 8 Alive 11 Dead 2	

Table 3. State (alive or dead) of coral colonies after one year.

The proportions of live and dead large colonies ('colony state') after one year as a function of colony size and farmerfish garden status.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0282572.t003

corals within a farmerfish garden relative to adjacent reef substrate of the same area [10, 13, 14]. While we also found a much greater density of individual *Pocillopora* colonies within gardens, our surveys also revealed that > 85% of the larger-sized colonies (i.e., > 10 cm dia) occurred in *Stegastes* territories despite that microhabitat accounting for < 40% of the reef substrate suitable for coral (i.e., surfaces with closely cropped turf algae or otherwise occupied by coral). This suggests that *Pocillopora* in farmerfish gardens grew faster, survived better, or both. We found that overall, bleached corals survived and recovered better if they occurred in a garden. As the frequency of thermal stress events continues to increase, the rescue effect of bleached coral in farmerfish gardens (lowered death rate, accelerated recovery of survivors) will likely further skew the distribution of large *Pocillopora* colonies towards *Stegastes* territories. Large colonies are the primary source of sexually produced propagules on reefs [4]. Further, Johnston et al. [45] found that smaller *Pocillopora* sp. colonies that recovered from bleaching suffered a prolonged period of reproductive impairment that was not observed in larger conspecifics. These aspects have profound population-level consequences that will

Small colonies					
Garden		Recovered	No. of colonies	Proportion of colonies by garden status	
Inside		Yes	42	0.24	
Inside		No	130	0.76	
Outside		Yes	21	0.17	
Outside		No	102	0.83	
Large colonies					
Garden	Recovered		No. of colonies	Proportion of colonies by garden status	
Inside	Yes		44	0.48	
Inside	No		47	0.52	
Outside	Yes		5	0.38	
Outside	No		8	0.62	

The proportion of surviving colonies that either did or did not recover to at least their initial amount of live tissue after a year as a function of colony size and farmerfish garden status.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0282572.t004

further amplify the importance of farmerfish garden oases in enhancing the resilience of coral communities as the thermal stress regime continues to intensify.

The observed rescue effect of farmerfish territories on bleached *Pocillopora* was not just due to the difference in the colony size distributions between garden and non-garden habitats; small corals that bleached were significantly more likely to survive and recover if they occurred in a Stegastes garden. Because smaller colonies have lower energetic reserves than larger colonies [46], the underlying mechanism accounting for the enhanced survival and recovery of small *Pocillopora* in farmerfish territories may be related to how *Stegastes* interactions help bleached coral conserve energy needed for recovery and/or speed energy production. One possible mechanism is the protection corals in farmerfish gardens gain against corallivorous fishes, which Stegastes aggressively exclude from their garden [15]. Corallivory generally reduces colony growth and survivorship [47, 48], and several studies have shown that corals protected by farmerfish incurred substantially lower rates of corallivory that resulted in greater growth and survivorship than adjacent, unprotected colonies [10, 14]. Repair of wounds from corallivores and other agents of damage is a high priority for *Pocillopora* [45-49], and smaller colonies heal more slowly than larger conspecifics due to high energetic demand relative to available reserves [50]. Bleaching greatly reduces the already limited energy supply of a small coral such that colonies protected from corallivory by farmerfish may gain a fitness advantage by needing to devote less energy to wound repair.

Farmerfish interactions may enhance the production of energy by coral colonies via heterotrophic or autotrophic pathways. While the ambient flux of zooplankton appears sufficient to meet the basic heterotrophic needs of *Pocillopora* at our study location when colonies are not thermally stressed [51], it can become a crucially important source of energy when corals are severely bleached [52]. In the field experiments reported by Adam et al. [53] where reduced predation on *Pocillopora* colonies resulted in faster growth, the polyps of corals in lower predation treatments were consistently observed to be extended and feeding throughout the day, whereas diurnal feeding was not observed for corals exposed to ambient levels of predation. This suggests that thermally-stressed corals protected by farmerfish may be able to increase their nutrient intake by feeding on zooplankton without great risk during the day, and not just at night. Alternatively, local fertilization by nitrogenous excretions from damselfishes can enhance the growth rates of coral, including *Pocillopora* in Moorea [22, 23]. Fish excretion in the form of recycled ammonia and urea has been shown to increase the density of algal endosymbionts in bleached coral, which recovered faster and survived better than bleached colonies exposed to other forms of nitrogen [25]. While increases of nitrogen at low levels can be beneficial, an excess can become an added stressor to coral, thus increasing the potential for bleaching [11, 25, 29]. Existing evidence suggests that *Stegastes* in Moorea increase the supply of nutrients in their gardens that benefit algae. In laboratory experiments, growth of number of algal taxa in Moorea was enhanced with increasing nutrient concentrations [54, 55], while a field nutrient bioassay revealed that growth of algae in Stegastes gardens was greater when the farmerfishes were present than when experimentally excluded [39]. More research is needed to understand the mechanisms underlying potential positive effects of farmerfishes on postbleaching recovery of small coral via heterotrophic or autotrophic pathways.

Marine heat wave events that induce mass coral bleaching will continue to occur more frequently and with greater intensity as the climate continues to warm [3, 9, 11]. As such, climate-related bleaching mortality of coral is now considered to be the one greatest threat to coral reefs in the foreseeable future [3, 9]. This places a premium on understanding factors that either moderate or exacerbate the impact of thermal stress on corals [25, 43]. Branching corals that recruit to defended territories of *Stegastes nigricans* are buffered to a greater degree against the most severe outcomes of thermal stress relative to colonies on adjacent substrate because smaller, more thermally-sensitive sizes are more likely to survive and recover, and because they are more likely to grow to larger, less sensitive sizes. The importance to coral resilience of this rescue effect of farmerfish oases will continue to grow as thermal-stress events become more frequent in the coming decades.

Acknowledgments

This research is a contribution from the Moorea Coral Reef Long Term Ecological Research site. K. Oda, K. Heimlich, D. Cook. J. Peters, O. Isbell, K. Seydel, J. Gallagher, and K. Kopecky assisted with field work and/or analysis. Research was completed under permits issued by the Government of French Polynesia (Délégation à la Recherche) and the Haut-commissariat de la République en Polynésie Francaise (DTRT) (Protocole d'Accueil for 2015–2020), whom we thank for their continued support.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Randi N. Honeycutt, Sally J. Holbrook, Andrew J. Brooks, Russell J. Schmitt.

Data curation: Randi N. Honeycutt, Andrew J. Brooks.

Formal analysis: Randi N. Honeycutt, Andrew J. Brooks.

Funding acquisition: Sally J. Holbrook, Russell J. Schmitt.

Investigation: Randi N. Honeycutt, Sally J. Holbrook, Andrew J. Brooks, Russell J. Schmitt.

Methodology: Randi N. Honeycutt, Sally J. Holbrook, Andrew J. Brooks, Russell J. Schmitt.

Project administration: Sally J. Holbrook, Russell J. Schmitt.

Resources: Randi N. Honeycutt, Sally J. Holbrook, Andrew J. Brooks, Russell J. Schmitt.

Supervision: Sally J. Holbrook, Andrew J. Brooks, Russell J. Schmitt.

Validation: Randi N. Honeycutt, Sally J. Holbrook, Andrew J. Brooks, Russell J. Schmitt.

Visualization: Randi N. Honeycutt, Andrew J. Brooks.

Writing - original draft: Randi N. Honeycutt.

Writing - review & editing: Sally J. Holbrook, Andrew J. Brooks, Russell J. Schmitt.

References

- Jacox MG, Alexander MA, Amaya D, Becker E, Bograd SJ, Brodie S, et al. Global seasonal forecasts of marine heat waves. Nature. 2022; 604:486–490. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04573-9 PMID: 35444322
- Hughes TP, Kerry JT, Alvarez-Noriega M, Alvarez-Romero JG, Anderson KD, Baird AH, et al. Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature. 2017; 543(7645):373–377. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature21707 PMID: 28300113
- Hughes TP, Anderson KD, Connolly SR, Heron SF, Kerry JT, Lough JM, et al. Spatial and temporal patterns of mass bleaching of corals in the Anthropocene. Science. 2018; 359:80–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan8048</u> PMID: 29302011
- Speare KE, Adam TC, Winslow EM, Lenihan HS, Burkepile DE. Size-dependent mortality of corals during marine heat wave erodes recovery capacity of a coral reef. Glob Chang Biol. 2022; 28:1342–1358. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16000 PMID: 34908214
- Baker AC, Glynn PW, Riegl B. Climate change and coral reef bleaching: an ecological assessment of long-term impacts, recovery trends and future outlook. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci. 2008; 80:435–371.

- Holbrook SJ, Schmitt RJ, Messmer V, Brooks AJ, Srinivasan M, Munday PL, et al. Reef fishes in biodiversity hotspots are at greatest risk from loss of coral species. PLoS ONE. 2015; 10(5):e0124054. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124054 PMID: 25970588
- Glynn PW. Widespread coral mortality and the 1982–83 El Nino warming event. Environ Conserv. 1984; 11:133–146.
- Lough JM, Anderson KD, Hughes TP. Increasing thermal stress for tropical coral reefs: 1871–2017. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:6079. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24530-9 PMID: 29666437
- Sully S, Burkepile DE, Donovan MK, Hodgson G, van Woesik R. A global analysis of coral bleaching over the past two decades. Nat Commun. 2019; 10:1264. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09238-2 PMID: 30894534
- Gochfeld DJ. Territorial damselfishes facilitate survival of corals by providing an associational defense against predators. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2010; 398:137–148.
- Donovan MK, Adam TC, Shantz AA, Speare KE, Munsterman KS, Rice MM, et al. Nitrogen pollution interacts with heat stress to increase coral bleaching across the seascape. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2020; 117(10):5351–5357. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1915395117 PMID: 32094188
- Donovan MK, Burkepile DE, Kratochwill C, Shlesinger T, Sully S, Oliver TA, et al. Local conditions magnify coral loss after marine heat waves. Science. 2021; 372(6545):977–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/</u> science.abd9464 PMID: 34045353
- 13. Gleason MG. Coral recruitment in Moorea, French Polynesia: the importance of patch type and temporal variation. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol. 1996; 207:79–101.
- 14. White J-SS O'Donnell JL. 2010. Indirect effects of a key ecosystem engineer alter survival and growth of foundation coral species. Ecology. 2010; 91:3538–3548.
- Johnson MK, Holbrook SJ, Schmitt RJ, Brooks AJ. Fish communities on staghorn coral: effects of habitat characteristics and resident farmerfishes. Environ Biol Fishes. 2011; 91:429–448.
- Pruitt JN, Keiser CN, Banka BT, Leidle JS, Brooks AJ, Schmitt RJ, et al. Collective aggressiveness of an ecosystem engineer is associated with coral recovery. Behav Ecol. 2018; 29:1216–1224.
- 17. Kamath A, Pruitt JN, Brooks AJ, Ladd MC, Cook DT, Gallagher JP, et al. Potential feedback between coral presence and farmerfish collective behavior promotes coral recovery. Oikos. 2019; 128:482–492.
- Randall JE. Reef and shore fishes of the South Pacific: New Caledonia to Tahiti and the Pitcairn Islands. Honolulu, HI: University of Hawai'i Press; 2005.
- Ceccarelli DM, Jones GP, McCook LJ. Territorial damselfishes as determinants of the structure of benthic communities on coral reefs. Oceanogr Mar Biol. 2001; 39:355–389.
- **20.** Holbrook SJ, Schmitt RJ. Population dynamics of a damselfish: effects of a competitor that also is an indirect mutualist. Ecology. 2004; 85:979–985.
- Holbrook SJ, Schmitt RJ. Growth, reproduction, and survival of a tropical sea anemone (Actiniaria): benefits of hosting anemonefish. Coral Reefs. 2005; 24:67–73.
- Holbrook SJ, Brooks AJ, Schmitt RJ, Stewart HL. Effect of sheltering fish on growth of their host corals. Mar Biol. 2008; 155:521–530.
- Holbrook SJ, Schmitt RJ, Brooks AJ. Indirect effects of species interactions on habitat partitioning. Oecologia. 2011; 166:739–749.
- 24. Shantz AA, Burkepile DE. Context-dependent effects of nutrient loading on the coral-algal mutualism. Ecology. 2014; 95:1995–2005. https://doi.org/10.1890/13-1407.1 PMID: 25163130
- Burkepile DE, Shantz AA, Adam TC, Munsterman KS, Speare KE, Ladd MC, et al. Nitrogen identity drives differential impacts of nutrients on coral bleaching and mortality. Ecosystems. 2019; 23:798–811.
- Shantz AA, Ladd MC, Ezzat L, Schmitt RJ, Holbrook SJ, Schmeltzer E, et al. Positive interactions between corals and damselfish increase coral resistance to temperature stress. Glob Chang Biol. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16480 PMID: 36315059
- Klumpp DW, McKinnon D, Daniel P. Damselfish territories: zones of high productivity on coral reefs. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 1987; 40:41–51.
- Blanchette A, Ely T, Zeko A, Sura SA, Turba R, Fong P. Damselfish Stegastes nigricans increase algal growth within their territories on shallow coral reefs via enhanced nutrient supplies. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol. 2019; 513:21–26.
- Detmer AR, Cunning R, Pfab F, Brown AL, Stier AC, Nisbet RM, et al. Fertilization by coral-dwelling fish promotes coral growth but can exacerbate bleaching response. J Theor Biol. 2022; 541:111087. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2022.111087 PMID: 35276225
- Burgess SC, Johnston EC, Wyatt ASJ, Leichter JJ, Edmunds PJ. Response diversity in corals: hidden differences in bleaching mortality among cryptic *Pocillopora* species. Ecology. 2021; 102(6):e03324.

- Feeney WE, Bertucci F, Gairin E, Siu G, Waqalevu V, Antoine M, et al. Long term relationship between farming damselfish, predators, competitors and benthic habitat on coral reefs of Moorea Island. Sci Rep. 2021; 11:14548. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-94010-0 PMID: 34267281
- Hata H, Watanabe K, Kato M. Geographic variation in the damselfish-red alga cultivation mutualism in the Indo-West Pacific. BMC Evol Biol. 2010; 10:185. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-185</u> PMID: 20565824
- Hata H, Takano S, Hasuhara H. Herbivorous damselfishes expand their territories after causing white scars on *Porites* corals. Sci Rep. 2020; 10:16172. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-73232-8 PMID: 32999358
- Burkepile DE, Schmitt MH, Stears K, Donovan MK, Thompson DI. Shared insights across the ecology of coral reefs and African savannas: are parrotfish wet wildebeest? BioScience. 2020; 70:647–658.
- Hata H, Kato M. Monoculture and mixed-species algal farms on a coral reef are maintained through intensive and extensive management by damselfishes. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol. 2004; 313:285–296.
- Gobler CJ, Thibault DB, Davis TW, Curran PB, Peterson BJ, Liddle LB. Algal assemblages associated with *Stegastes* sp. territories on Indo-Pacific coral reefs: characterization of diversity and controls on growth. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol. 2006; 336:135–145.
- Done TJ, Dayton PK, Dayton AE, Steger R. Regional and local variability in recovery of shallow coral communities: Moorea, French Polynesia and central Great Barrier Reef. Coral Reefs. 1991; 9:183–192.
- **38.** R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Version 1.4.1106. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2016.
- Blanchette A, Spies B, Eminhizer S, Franco N, Gu K, Turba R, et al. Effects of onshore development and damselfish (Stegastes nigricans) on coral richness in Opunohu Bay and Cook's Bay in Moorea, French Polynesia. Coral Reefs. 2022; 41:987–999.
- Leichter J, Adam T, Seydel K, Gotschalk C. Benthic water temperature, ongoing since 2005 ver 15. Environmental Data Initiative. 2022. <u>https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/</u> b8b3c1a927b7639459a244ff2ceef4e2.
- Schmitt RJ, Holbrook SJ, Davis SL, Brooks AJ, Adam TC. Experimental support for alternative attractors on coral reefs. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2019; 116:4372–4381.
- 42. Schmitt RJ, Holbrook SJ, Brooks AJ, Adam TC. Evaluating the precariousness of coral recovery when coral and macroalgae are alternative basins of attraction. Limnol Oceanogr. 2022; 67:S285–S297.
- 43. Smith HA, Prenzlau T, Whitman T, Fulton SE, Borghi S, Logan M, et al. Macroalgal canopies provide corals limited protection from bleaching and impede post-bleaching recovery. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol. 2022; 553:151762.
- Casey JM, Ainsworth TD, Choat JH, Connolly SR. Farming behavior of reef fishes increases the prevalence of coral disease associated microbes and black band disease. Proc Royal Soc B. 2014; 281:20141032.
- Johnston EC, Counsell CWW, Sale TL, Burgess SC, Toonen RJ. The legacy of stress: coral bleaching impacts reproduction years later. Func Ecol. 2020; 34:2315–2325.
- **46.** Oren U, Benayahu Y, Lubinevsky H, Loya Y. Colony integration during regeneration in the stony coral *Favia favus*. Ecology. 2001:82: 802–813.
- Lenihan HS, Holbrook SJ, Schmitt RJ, Brooks AJ. Influence of corallivory, competition, and habitat structure on coral community shifts. Ecology. 2011; 92:1959–1971. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/11-0108.1</u> PMID: 22073787
- Kopecky KL, Cook DT, Schmitt RJ, Stier AC. Effects of corallivory and coral colony density on coral growth and survival. Coral Reefs. 2021; 40:283–288.
- Rice MM, Ezzat L, Burkepile DE. Corallivory in the Anthropocene: interactive effects of anthropogenic stressors and corallivory on coral reefs. Front Mar Sci. 2019; 5:10.3389.
- Counsell CWW, Johnston EC, Sale TL. Colony size and depth affect wound repair in a branching coral. Mar Biol. 2019; 166:148.
- Alldredge AL, Holbrook SJ, Schmitt RJ, Brooks AJ, Stewart H. Skeletal growth of four scleractinian corals is not enhanced by in situ mesozooplankton enrichment. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2013; 489:143–153.
- Palardy JE, Rodrigues LJ, Grottoli AG. The importance of zooplankton to the daily metabolic carbon requirements of healthy and bleached corals at two depths. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol. 2008; 367:180–188.
- Adam TC, Holbrook SJ, Burkepile DE, Speare KE, Brooks AJ, Ladd MC, et al. Priority effects in coralmacroalgae interactions can drive alternate community paths in the absence of top-down control. Ecology. 2022;e3831. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3831 PMID: 35862066

- Fong CR, Fong P. Why species matter: an experimental assessment of assumptions and predictive ability of two functional-group models. Ecology. 2014; 95:2055–2061. https://doi.org/10.1890/13-1557.
 1 PMID: 25230457
- **55.** Clausing RJ, Fong P. Environmental variability drives rapid and dramatic changes in nutrient limitation of tropical macroalgae with different ecological strategies. Coral Reefs. 2016; 35:669–680.